To: CN=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]

Cc: []

From: CN=Carolyn Yale/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US

Sent: Thur 12/8/2011 8:37:37 PM

Subject: Re: BDCP Admin DEIS comments CH 2, 3, & 4

Carolyn Yale's Mail

That point was fyi.

I don't see enough info in text to judge where they're headed, and your general comment covers request for more detail.

I do have another suggestion (not critical, but a thought)

You write:

. " In addition estimates of impacts to water quality should be provided in units that correspond to state-adopted water quality criteria objectives."

To which we could add:

Additionally, hydrodynamic and foodweb changes (e.g., higher residence times in wetlands, expansion of conditions suited to foodwebs prone to biomagnif.) can contribute to impacts. The impact analysis should look for these conditions.

The document should clearly identify the assumptions regarding implementation of measures to reduce or remove current water quality impairments, such as TMDLs.

Carolyn Yale US EPA Watersheds Office phone: 415-972-3482 fax: 415-947-3537 yale.carolyn@epa.gov

Re: BDCP Admin DEIS comments CH 2, 3, & 4

Erin Foresman to: Carolyn Yale 12/08/2011 10:22 AM

Hey Carolyn,

Okay, nit-picker:). I appreciate your point and the nuance. Is there some text you would like to see changed? If so, it works better if you can make the changes you'd like to see in the tables with track changes and send it back to me. It is hard to tell how I can address the nuance, or if you want me to address the nuance, based on your email.

Erin Foresman

Environmental Scientist & Policy Coordinator, US EPA Region 9 C/O National Marine Fisheries Service 650 Capitol Mall Suite 5-100, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 930 3722

http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/watershed/sfbay-delta/index.html

I work a part time schedule (M 7:30a - 4:00p, T - F 7:30 - 2:00p)

From: Carolyn Yale/R9/USEPA/US

To: Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: Bruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Laura Fujii/R9/USEPA/US@EPA,

Sam Ziegler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Stephanie Skophammer/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Tim

Vendlinski/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 12/08/2011 09:42 AM

Subject: Re: BDCP Admin DEIS comments CH 2, 3, & 4

I don't want to nit-pick-- just point out a nuance that sometimes makes a difference. This is based on previous doc reviews:

Keep an eye on a distinction between impacts to a resource (or water quality impacts) and condition of that resource relative to objectives (eg water quality standards). You may not have much in the way of 'impacts' (of the proposed action) but condition may still fall short of objectives.

In a way, this also relates to my earlier comments about what's in the objective function, versus an output or consequence of the stated objectives. (Is the comment about model assumptions directed to questions of this kind?)

С

Carolyn Yale US EPA Watersheds Office phone: 415-972-3482 fax: 415-947-3537 yale.carolyn@epa.gov

BDCP Admin DEIS comments CH 2, 3, & 4

Erin Foresman to: Karen Schwinn, Tom Hagler, Stephanie Skophammer, Carolyn Yale, Bruce Herbold, Sam Ziegler, Tim Vendlinski, Laura Fujii 12/08/2011 09:03 AM

Karen and All,

Please review these before sending to Federico. Some things have changed since you last read them.

Carolyn & Bruce thank you v. much for your contributions! Erin

[attachment "EPA_COMMENTS_BDCP_AdminDEIS_CH3.docx" deleted by Carolyn Yale/R9/USEPA/US] [attachment "EPA_Comments_BDCP_AdminDEIS_ANALYTAPPRCH.docx" deleted by Carolyn Yale/R9/USEPA/US] [attachment "EPA ADMIN DRAFT P & N Comment.docx" deleted by Carolyn Yale/R9/USEPA/US]

Erin Foresman

Environmental Scientist & Policy Coordinator, US EPA Region 9 C/O National Marine Fisheries Service 650 Capitol Mall Suite 5-100, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 930 3722

http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/watershed/sfbay-delta/index.html

I work a part time schedule (M 7:30a - 4:00p, T - F 7:30 - 2:00p)